

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/800,080	03/12/2004	Richard G. Campbell III	RC01-01U	8079		
75	90 01/19/2006		EXAMINER			
BUHLER & ASSOCIATES SUITE 100			TA, THO DAC			
1101 CALIFORNIA AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CORONA, CA	92881		2833			
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/10/2004	DATE MAIL ED: 01/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/800,080	CAMPBELL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tho D. Ta	2833	

	Tho D. Ta	2833	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 07 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Noti ving replies: (1) an amendmentice of Appeal (with appeal fe- te with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ce of Appeal. To avoid aba nt, affidavit, or other evider e) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date se ater than SIX MONTHS from the b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHE 06.07(f).	mailing date of the final rejecti N THE FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding an chortened statutory period for rep than three months after the mail	nount of the fee. The appropr ly originally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(	e)), to avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a	brief will not be entered b	ecause
<ul> <li>(a)    ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (se w);	e NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		lly rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		on-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>			(1.02.02.).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a sepa		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1, 2 and 4-16.	⊠ will not be entered, or b) [ vided below or appended.	→ will be entered and an order  → will be entered  → w	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filin d sufficient reasons why the a	g a Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> iffidavit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under	appeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims a	fter entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	t does NOT place the applica	ition in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pa	aper No(s)	
·			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment regarding "a slot extending the length of the plug retainer" is a new issue.

THO D.TA
PRIMARY EXAMINER